

#### **.NET GC Internals**

# Allocations

@konradkokosa / @dotnetosorg



### **.NET GC Internals Agenda**

- Introduction roadmap and fundamentals, source code, ...
- Mark phase roots, object graph traversal, mark stack, mark/pinned flag, mark list, ...
- **Concurrent Mark** phase *mark array/mark word*, concurrent visiting, *floating garbage*, *write watch list*, ...
- Plan phase gap, plug, plug tree, brick table, pinned plug, pre/post plug, ...
- Sweep phase free list threading, concurrent sweep, ...
- **Compact** phase *relocate* references, compact, ...
- Generations physical organization, card tables, ...
- Allocations bump pointer allocator, free list allocator, allocation context, ...
- **Roots internals** stack roots, *GCInfo*, *partially/full interruptible methods*, statics, Thread-local Statics (TLS), ...
- **Q&A** "but why can't I manually delete an object?", ...

### **.NET GC Internals Agenda**

- Introduction roadmap and fundamentals, source code, ...
- Mark phase roots, object graph traversal, mark stack, mark/pinned flag, mark list, ...
- **Concurrent Mark** phase *mark array/mark word*, concurrent visiting, *floating garbage*, *write watch list*, ...
- Plan phase gap, plug, plug tree, brick table, pinned plug, pre/post plug, ...
- Sweep phase free list threading, concurrent sweep, ...
- **Compact** phase *relocate* references, compact, ...
- Allocations bump pointer allocator, free list allocator, allocation context, ...
- Generations physical organization, card tables, ...
- **Roots internals** stack roots, *GCInfo*, *partially/full interruptible methods*, statics, Thread-local Statics (TLS), ...
- **Q&A** "but why can't I manually delete an object?", ...

i.









Allocation quantum – 8 kB (1-8kB)





"Dummy" bump pointer allocation and fragmentation problem:

- (a) Sweeping Garbage Collection produces fragmentation and if allocation context is not aware of free memory sad :(,
- (b) Compact Garbage Collection reclaims memory by pushing back allocation context but requires a lot of memory copying



"Smart" bump pointer allocation reuses free space!



"Smart" bump pointer allocation reuses free space!

(\*) we will return to that!





#### Compacting still makes sense - from time to time!

• searching through a free items list to find a gap big enough

- searching through a free items list to find a gap big enough
  - *best-fit* the smallest block fitting (little leftovers)

- searching through a free items list to find a gap big enough
  - *best-fit* the smallest block fitting (little leftovers)
  - *first-fit* the first block fitting (faster but leftovers)

- searching through a free items list to find a gap big enough
  - *best-fit* the smallest block fitting (little leftovers)
  - *first-fit* the first block fitting (faster but leftovers)
  - buckets first-fit into of buckets of various size ranges

- searching through a free items list to find a gap big enough
  - *best-fit* the smallest block fitting (little leftovers)
  - *first-fit* the first block fitting (faster but leftovers)
  - buckets first-fit into of buckets of various size ranges
- in .NET free list is (partially) stored on the heap itself
  - "free object" with a predefined MT
  - $\circ~\mbox{keeps}$  size as an array
  - keeps address of the next "free object" (single-linked list)
  - keeps special "undo" address
  - for sizes >= 2\*minimum object size



#### Free-list allocator - Buckets as metadata



Region	First bucket size	Number of buckets
Generation 0	Int.Max	1
Generation 1	Int.Max	1
Generation 2	256 B (64-bit) 128 B (32-bit)	12 12
LOH	64 kB	7

For gen 0 and 1 - free item is being discarded (becomes unusable fragmentation) **if it fails to fit the required size**.

#### Free-list allocator - Buckets as metadata



Region	First bucket size	Number of buckets
Generation 0	Int.Max	1
Generation 1	Int.Max	1
Generation 2	256 B (64-bit)	12
	128 B (32-Dit)	12
LOH	64 kB	7

For gen 0 and 1 - free item is being discarded (becomes unusable fragmentation) **if it fails to fit the required size**.

Hola! Why we need gen 1 and 2 for free-list allocation?!

Undo is used to... undo planned free-items usage (for compacting) if sweeping has been decided. In other words - to revert typical "unlink" operation on single-linked list element.



# Allocation... creating a new object

# **Creating a new object**

var obj = new SomeClass();

#### becomes

newobj instance void SomeClass:..ctor()

Question:

- who resets object's fields to defaults?
- who decides where to allocate (SOH/LOH)?

#### newobj's JIT decision path



#### newobj's JIT decision path



InitJITHelpers1 initializes "fast helpers" in JIT, like CORINFO\_HELP\_NEWSFAST or CORINFO\_HELP\_NEWARR\_1\_VC. BTW, JIT\_NewS\_MP\_FastPortable on non-Windows also uses allocation context.

# **Small Object Heap allocation**

- mostly **bump-pointer allocation** inside the current allocation context
  - o JIT\_TrialAllocSFastMP\_InlineGetThread
- fallbacks to **JIT\_NEW** in case of allocation context being full

```
; As input, rcx contains MethodTable pointer
: As result, rax contains new object address
LEAF_ENTRY JIT_TrialAllocSFastMP_InlineGetThread, _TEXT
  : Read object size into edx
  ; m_BaseSize is guaranteed to be a multiple of 8.
 mov edx, [rcx + OFFSET__MethodTable__m_BaseSize]
  ; Read Thread Local Storage address into r11
 INLINE_GETTHREAD r11
  : Read alloc_limit into r10
 mov r10, [r11 + OFFSET__Thread__m_alloc_context__alloc_limit]
  ; Read alloct_ptr into rax
 mov rax, [r11 + OFFSET__Thread__m_alloc_context__alloc_ptr]
 add rdx, rax ; rdx = alloc_ptr + size
 cmp rdx, r10; is rdx smaller than alloc_limit
 ia AllocFailed
  ; Update alloc_ptr in TLS
 mov [r11 + OFFSET__Thread__m_alloc_context__alloc_ptr], rdx
  ; Store MT under alloc_ptr address (constituting new object)
 mov [rax], rcx
 ret
AllocFailed:
 jmp JIT_NEW ; fast-path failed, jump to slow-path
LEAF_END JIT_TrialAllocSFastMP_InlineGetThread, _TEXT
```

#### JIT\_NEW helper

The same as used for objects with finalizer or in LOH.

- "slower" C++ bump-pointer allocator (because it is generic for both SOH/LOH)
- if fails, the whole story begins the true "slow-path":
  - trying to use existing, unused space in. It will:
    - Try to use free list to find a suitable free gap for a new allocation context free-list allocation of a new allocation context
    - Try to adjust allocation limit inside already Commited memory
    - Try to Commit more memory from Reserved memory and adjust allocation limit inside.
  - If all above fails, GC will be triggered
  - If all above fails OutOfMemoryException :(





24/29



# Large Object Heap allocation

- free-list allocation and simplified bump-pointer at the end of the segment
  - no use of allocation context
  - ... thus synchronization overhead
  - ... and memory zeroing overhead
- only "slow-path":
  - try to use free list to find a suitable free gap for an object
  - in each segment containing LOH:
    - try to adjust allocation limit inside already Committed memory,
    - try to Commit more memory from Reserved memory and adjust allocation limit inside
  - if all above fails, GC will be triggered.
  - If all above fails **OutOfMemoryException** :(

# **Pinned Object Heap allocation**

- new allocation API: <u>T[] GC.AllocateArray<T> (int length, bool pinned = false)</u>
- it adds GC\_ALLOC\_FLAGS.GC\_ALLOC\_PINNED\_OBJECT\_HEAP flag to AllocateNewArray
- in the end it calls allocate\_uoh\_object on poh\_generation (#4)
- which is shared between LOH and POH

## Allocation overhead - summary

- SOH super-fast bump-pointer inside allocation context (AC) but...
  - fallback to free-list finding of new AC or extending commit/reserve segment
  - ... which requires zeroing such a new AC
  - or the GC
- LOH & POH dominated by zeroing cost (now, optional) and...
  - additionally synchronized
  - even more painful in LOH with the Concurrent GC LOH allocations blocked for (part) of the time of the Concurrent Sweep
    - "LOH Allocation Pause (due to background GC) > 200 Msec" section in PerfView's GCStats
- **stackalloc** only memory region zeroing cost (if not disabled 😨)

# Allocations

"AllocateObject is calling in the end Object\* GCHeap::Alloc (with flags like GC\_ALLOC\_FINALIZE or GC\_ALLOC\_LARGE\_OBJECT\_HEAP), calling allocate\_uoh\_object for UOH (User Old Heap) - LOH & POH. Or calling gc\_heap::allocate for SOH.

If the current allocation context is not enough, it calls **gc\_heap::allocate\_more\_space** and then **gc\_heap::try\_allocate\_more\_space** internally."